From: Kennedy Bird

Sent: Saturday, November 11, 2023 7:17 am

To: goulburn@parliament.nsw.gov.au <goulburn@parliament.nsw.gov.au>

Cc: Lisa Miscamble < Lisa. Miscamble @wsc.nsw.gov.au>

**Subject:** Council's Response to Plasrefine

## Dear Wendy,

To say that I am appalled, aghast, disgusted, angry and in disbelief at Wingecarribee Council's pathetic draft submission to the Plasrefine proposal, would be an understatement, in the extreme. Over 660 total individual community and organisations' submissions, almost 6,000 signatories on a petition, efforts by yourself, raising the matter in Parliament on several occasions and support from other MP's, should have provided WSC with sufficient ammunition, to support our cause. After all, they are OUR Council. I could hardly believe what I was reading in their draft submission. It seems as though all the so called 'mitigation measures' offered up by GHD/Plasrefine are now suddenly acceptable and we can all relax and rely on them to honour their committments:

- The endangered trees within the riparian zone, referred to as 'a patch' of Eucalyptus Macarthuraii,
- The supposed reduction in building height to ONLY 14.5m for Building 1, (incorrect as it's 15.5m on drawings), Building 2 is still 16.7m on all drawings.
- The reduction in water usage still requiring between 5,500 15,000 LITRES PER DAY is now ACCEPTABLE!!! What Council fails to realise is that this reduction will only serve to concentrate the contaminates. This is still excessive, particularly during drought when water restrictions will not be applied to their factory. The reduction will only come about if there is sufficient rainwater collected from the rooftops and through Plasrefine's recycling of wastewater. Absolute rubbish.
- The riparian zone...ignored
- The inevitable contamination of Sydney's drinking water affecting millions of unsuspecting residents...ignored
- Microplastics
- The Garvan Institute

The new access route is now a huge improvement on the previous proposal, it would seem, so there's another box ticked. What Council fails to recognise is the 1m height increase at that new location, where the road would need to be raised, making it impossible for any articulated vehicle to negotiate. It simply can't be done. It also discounts the effect on already newly established factories in Redfields Road.

So providing Plasrefine conducts regular consultation sessions with the community and affected residents, which they've offered to do, Council doesn't see a problem. We all know how well these have been manipulated in the past. One would have to wonder, with all the previous concerns, submissions, meetings, obvious community opposition, WHY has Council NOT SUPPORTED the community on this critical issue? So many reasons for utter disappointment in Council's response. Just pathetic.

Kind regards, Sharan Kennedy. From: Bev Hordern

**Sent:** Saturday, November 11, 2023 1:33:40 PM

To: Lisa Miscamble < Lisa. Miscamble @wsc.nsw.gov.au>

Cc: Susan Stannard <Susan.Stannard@wsc.nsw.gov.au>; goulburn@parliament.nsw.gov.au

<goulburn@parliament.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: WSC Draft Submission - Plasrefine

Dear Ms Miscamble,

We are responding to Council's draft submission to the NSW Department of Planning regarding the proposed Plasfrefine development published on the 10th November.

In short, we are significantly underwhelmed with the calibre of Council's representation of the community's documented opposition to this issue and associated long term ramifications for the Highlands' health, safety, environment and planned development.

Council's response is superficial at best and lacking the depth and research undertaken by the community in its legitimate objections (600+) to the activities proposed at the designated site.

That Council is holding a community consultation on the evening it has submitted its response to DPE, 16th November, is a case of "too little too late" and a failure to grasp the opportunity to effectively convey constituent concerns.

Yours sincerely,

Graham and Bev Hordern